Naomi Levelle Haslitt, OSB No. 075857

naomi.haslitt@millernash.com

Taylor D. Richman, OSB No. 154086

taylor.richman@millernash.com

MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP

3400 U.S. Bancorp Tower

111 S.W. Fifth Avenue

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: 503.224.5858 Facsimile: 503.224.0155

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON EUGENE DIVISION

EHVAN SCHECTMAN,

Case No. 6:17-cv-000-46-MC

Plaintiff,

v.

JOINT PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON SCHOOL OF LAW, an Oregon State public entity; NICOLE COMMISSIONG, individually and as Assistant of defendant UNIVERSITY OF OREGON SCHOOL OF LAW and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendant	S.	

Counsel for the plaintiff and defendants held an initial discovery planning conference on August 9, 2017, and thereafter conferred by e-mail and telephone on a proposed joint discovery plan. The parties respectfully submit the following discovery plan pursuant to Fed R Civ P 26(f):

Page 1 - Joint Proposed Discovery Plan

Case 6:17-cv-00046-JR Document 16 Filed 10/09/17 Page 2 of 4

A. Required Disclosures (Fed R Civ P 26(a)).

The parties agreed to exchange initial disclosures by or before September 8, 2017.

The parties do not propose any other changes to the time, form, or requirement for disclosures

under Rule 26(a).

B. <u>Discovery Scope and Limits (Fed R Civ P 26(b))</u>.

The parties anticipate that discovery in this action may include the following

subjects:

a. Plaintiff's alleged disability;

b. The facts and circumstances of the process for offering and/or providing

plaintiff with any modifications of policies, practices, or procedures;

c. The facts and circumstances of the University's emergency temporary

suspension of plaintiff;

d. The facts and circumstances of plaintiff's withdrawal from the University

of Oregon School of Law; and

e. Plaintiff's alleged damages.

The parties propose that discovery be conducted in two phases, with the general

discovery phase ending on February 8, 2018, and the expert discovery phase ending on April 8,

2018.

C. <u>Issues About Disclosure</u>, <u>Discovery or Electronically-Stored Information</u>

(Fed R Civ P 26(f)(3)(C)).

The parties have conferred on the types of electronically-stored information each

anticipates seeking in discovery. If any such issues arise regarding the discovery, disclosure, or

preservation of electronically-stored information, the parties will attempt to resolve them before

seeking the Court's assistance.

The parties will produce electronically-stored information in printed form when

possible.

Page 2 - Joint Proposed Discovery Plan

MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP

D. <u>Issues About Claims of Privilege or of Protection as Trial Preparation Materials</u>

(Fig. 1. D. Clark D. 2007)

(Fed R Civ P 26(f)(3)(D)).

The parties have conferred about potential issues regarding claims of privilege.

Defendants have raised as a potential issue that plaintiff's representation in this matter by his

father, Mr. Steven Schectman (plaintiff is also represented by local counsel Andrew Lewinter),

may give rise to issues regarding whether and to which communications an attorney-client

privilege would attach with respect to communications between Mr. Schectman and plaintiff, and

particularly with respect to communications regarding the underlying factual allegations giving

rise to plaintiff's claims.

If any of the above becomes an issue, the parties will attempt to resolve it before

seeking the Court's assistance.

E. Changes to Limitations on Discovery Under Rules (Fed R Civ P 26(f)(3)(E)).

The parties do not propose any changes to the limitations on discovery or any

other limitations.

F. Any Other Orders Under Rule 26(c) or Rule 16 (Fed R Civ P 26(f)(3)(F)).

At this time, the parties are unaware of any issues that would require the Court to

issue orders under Fed R Civ P 26(c) or Fed R Civ P 16(c). The parties request that the Court

issue a scheduling order under Fed R Civ P 16(b) in accordance with the parties' agreed-upon

discovery plan set forth in Sections A and B, above.

DATED this 9th day of October, 2017.

MILLER NASH GRAHAM & DUNN LLP

s/Steven Schectman

Steven Schectman (*admitted pro hac vice*) Andrew Lewinter, OSB No. 080031

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ehvan Schectman

s/Naomi Levelle Haslitt

Naomi Levelle Haslitt, OSB No. 075857

Taylor D. Richman, OSB No. 154086

Attorneys for Defendants

Page 3 - Joint Proposed Discovery Plan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 9th, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing Joint Proposed Discovery Plan with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and that by doing so I served the foregoing on all parties of record in the subject case via CM/ECF system transmission.

DATED this 9th day of October, 2017.

s/Naomi Levelle Haslitt
Naomi Levelle Haslitt, OSB No. 075857

Of Attorneys for Defendants